A Blueprint for Training Transformation

The CAE Perspective

2025

Instructional Design: Supporting Training Transformation

Critical Educational Design Now & for the Future

In an era where military readiness is defined by adaptability and technological integration, Instructional Design (ID) plays a critical role in ensuring personnel develop the necessary competencies for real-world operations. Traditional training models, while structured, often rely on rigid, instructor-led methods that fail to account for the advancements in learning science, data analytics, and Artificial Intelligence-driven (AI) education. The evolving battlespace demands a more dynamic, responsive approach – one that integrates automated performance tracking, immersive simulation technologies, and real-time feedback mechanisms to enhance both learning efficiency and operational preparedness. By incorporating standardized training systems with personalized, adaptive learning environments, military organizations can improve training throughput while maintaining high-performance standards. The integration of competency-based learning and enterprise-wide data strategies ensures that training remains aligned with the latest defence requirements, creating a future-proof system that continuously evolves to meet the demands of modern warfare.

As modern military operations become increasingly complex, training programs must evolve to ensure personnel are prepared for high-stakes, rapidly changing environments. Instructional Design (ID) provides a structured framework for training development, ensuring learners acquire the necessary Knowledge, Skills, Abilities, and Behaviours (KSABs) to meet operational demands. The ADDIE model remains a widely used foundation, but to remain effective, it must be integrated with real-time data analytics, AI-driven learning, and simulation-based training to optimize instruction. A shift toward competency-based, adaptive learning environments will improve skill retention, mission readiness, and resource efficiency. By leveraging automated data collection, predictive analytics, and AI-powered personalization, defence organizations can enhance training effectiveness while maintaining standardized outcomes. As training methodologies continue to evolve, adopting a flexible, scalable, and data-driven approach will be critical to ensuring military personnel are equipped to handle the challenges of 21st-century warfare.

Instructional Design and Learning Science

Global defence requires a high level of operational performance supported by a solid foundation of skills and knowledge. The performance environment must be able to adapt to quickly evolving tactical situations in dynamically shifting battlespaces at unprecedented rates. Training programs need to keep pace with the operational environment while navigating the impact of an evolving digital landscape and changing demographics, as well as advances in learning science, instructional methods, and technology. As the tools and technology change, more robust learning science standards are being integrated, requiring seamless interoperability between Government and Industry applications with increased cyber and defence security protocols. The learning environment must include student and instructor performance data tracking and reporting for descriptive, diagnostic, predictive, and prescriptive analytics founded in learning science to succeed in providing real-time adaptive learning and mission readiness assessments.

Instructional Design, Defined

The aim of instruction is to create behavioural change in learners, enabling them to meet real-world performance requirements. ID should also enable ongoing assessment of the instruction process. Without a systematic approach to the application of learning science – the study of how people learn – training and education are nothing more than the dissemination of information, often in an ad hoc and subjective fashion, dependent on the preferences, strengths, and weaknesses of each instructor.

The purpose of ID is to define, develop, and deliver training products and experiences that leverage learning science and meet a high degree of instructional quality. ID uses systematic processes to create a complete system of instruction, including appropriate components such as course syllabi and lesson specification reports which map learning objectives to each training event profile, and establishes management best practices for formal training delivery.

One of the best-known and most widely used training development models for ID is the Analysis, Design, Development, Implementation, and Evaluation (ADDIE) Model, credited for being the foundational model for Systems Approaches to Training (SAT). This five-phase model is an iterative ID framework for the planning and creation of effective learning experiences. The ADDIE model has been adopted as the standard approach by many Instructional Designers due to its flexibility, its adaptability, and its demonstrated traceability to all instructional components.

The phases, which can take place sequentially or concurrently, include:

- Analyzing and determining real-world performance requirements and existing system baseline performance, such as front-end mission and job training needs analysis.
- Designing training strategies to transfer learner behaviour most efficiently and effectively in order to meet the determined performance requirements.
- Developing the training materials required for the training activities.
- Implementing the training materials within the training system and conducting the actual delivery of instruction in accordance with the documented design, as performed by instructors.
- Evaluating the learners to determine if and what learning has successfully occurred, and assessing the effectiveness of the training.

ADDIE serves as a basis for many SAT models used by a variety of militaries, including the US, the Canadian Armed Forces, the Australian military, and the UK Armed Forces. These Instructional System Design (ISD) models are critical for ensuring positive behavioural outcomes in operational and training environments.

The evolving understanding of human performance, coupled with the increasing precision in alignment between training requirements and associated operational performance requirements, supports accurate and objective standard setting. A clear understanding of those standards and the means that achieve them enables optimal resource allocation in the training process.

Fundamentally, training seeks to prepare personnel to perform as needed in the real world, according to accurate, methodically developed standards. Well-managed ISD models help to identify performance standards and support the evaluation of training programs against those standards, requiring that performance and training data be harvested and assessed.

Consistent and reliable evaluation of task performance helps to eliminate inadvertent mission failure. Performance measurement increases the alignment of training requirements and operational performance expectations. Accurate and objective standards have two important effects:

- They reduce wasted training time and resources associated with failing students and/or re-coursing of qualified personnel who are victims of badly analyzed and/or designed performance standards and associated training.
- They help reduce danger to lives and equipment, should unqualified candidates graduate.

If inadequate standards are not properly addressed, a variety of downstream effects could be experienced, including:

- Reduced operational effectiveness due to poorly qualified personnel
- Inability to determine the result of substandard qualified personnel
- Loss of credibility of the training organization
- Inability to determine where and how the standards are flawed.

Some critics believe ISD models such as ADDIE are time-consuming, costly, linear, and rigid. What they fail to appreciate is that the iterative, cyclical nature of ID, as described in a model like ADDIE, must be embraced so that resulting training can evolve and improve.

Training programs must necessarily keep pace with the rapidly changing operational environment, advancing training while adhering to standards. A future-proof, modern ID approach must leverage these models and advances in learning science. This continuously responsive approach allows for flexibility and iterative development, prioritizing the individual learner's development of Knowledge, Skills, Abilities, and Behaviours (KSABs). To be effective, learning design must integrate digitized systems with automated data capture and analysis to allow for built-in continuous improvement. Models such as ADDIE remain integral, and they must be viewed and used with this modern lens.

Synchronizing learning science, technology capabilities, and desired training outcomes requires data-driven needs analyses driven by a training design triad of experts from ID, engineering, and technology, as well as domain subject matter experts. Well-designed data analytics coupled with AI can curate and recommend relevant learning resources dynamically, based on learner progress and performance. An enterprise learning management system with a well-considered design ensures consistent performance information is provided exactly when it is needed, regardless of the actual training device or student activity. Needs analyses become a built-in functionality of future systems.

The output of the ID process is to develop in the learner the required competencies to define outcomes, utilizing the best learning science, educational tools, and instruction methods available. Competency-based training, with standardized outcomes that all learners must achieve, is core to this approach. Competency-based training includes the application of safe, controlled, repeatable, and measurable simulation-based learning opportunities, with individualized virtual coaching and remediation.

This includes conventional testing of students, real-time monitoring, and assessment during practical training events designed to display mastery of the identified competencies. These training events require validation enabled by the consolidation of all training data to assess the effectiveness and efficiency of a given training program. Such training validation should also be a capability enabled by an enterprise-wide approach to data and analysis from all training devices, creating standard evaluations across the entire training system, rather than an uncoordinated device-by-device approach.

An enterprise-wide coordinated approach to ID, the standardized use of training devices, and performance analysis delivers competent students able to cope with the complexity of the current and future defence environment. The focus on personalization ensures that each learner receives content and resources suited to their skill level at every point, while still achieving a common standard.

Grounded in learning science, automated data collection and analytics provide recommendations for continuous improvement and enables the training design triad (ID, engineering and technology, and domain subject matter experts) to make data-driven assessments and recommendations for content improvements, curriculum updates, and the integration of emerging technologies. This continuous improvement vector is always aligned to training needs and outcomes, ensuring that investments are optimized against strategic goals and desired competencies.

Conclusion

The transformation of ID is not a distant goal but an immediate necessity as modern defence organizations face increasing operational complexity. Training must shift toward a data-driven, AI-enhanced ecosystem that seamlessly integrates real-time performance tracking, adaptive learning, and predictive analytics to ensure efficiency and mission readiness. By establishing standardized training systems across military branches and leveraging emerging technologies, organizations can streamline instruction while maintaining individualized learning paths tailored to operational needs. Future warfighters will enter training with heightened expectations for technology-driven, interactive education, requiring military institutions to adopt AI-powered instruction, simulation-based training, and automated feedback mechanisms. This shift will enhance resource allocation, improve retention rates, and produce a more capable fighting force.

By embracing these advancements today, defence organizations can build a scalable, future-ready training model that ensures personnel are continuously prepared to meet evolving global threats.

At CAE, we equip people in critical roles with the expertise and solutions to create a safer world. As a technology company, we digitalize the physical world, deploying software-based simulation training and critical operations support solutions. Above all else, we empower pilots, cabin crew, maintenance technicians, airlines, business aviation operators, and defence and security forces to perform at their best every day and when the stakes are the highest. Around the globe, we're everywhere customers need us to be with approximately 13,000 employees in more than 240 sites and training locations in over 40 countries. CAE represents more than 75 years of industry firsts—the highest-fidelity flight and mission simulators as well as training programs powered by digital technologies. We embed sustainability in everything we do. Today and tomorrow, we'll make sure our customers are ready for the moments that matter.